

2006 North Carolina Voter Guide

Questionnaire for State Judicial Candidates



Candidate Information

(Please Print or Type)

Candidate Name Robin Hudson Party Affiliation Dem.
 Office Sought Associate Justice, Supreme Court District/Seat Wainwright seat (optional)
 Campaign Address P.O. Box 17942 City Raleigh State NC zip 27619
 Campaign Phone/Fax 919-621-3102 919-233-2229 (fax) Campaign Website www.RobinHudson.org
 Candidate Signature [Signature] Date 3/31/06
 (required)

Candidate Questionnaire

Please indicate your position on the following questions by placing a mark in the appropriate space.
 You may provide additional comments and explanations for your responses on a separate sheet of paper.
 (Failure to answer will result in a listing of "No Response" in the 2006 Voter Guide.)

- Which of the current justices of the United States Supreme Court most closely reflects your judicial philosophy?
 none of the above -
 Alito Breyer Ginsburg Kennedy Roberts Scalia Souter Stevens Thomas
- On a scale of 1-10, please rate your constitutional judicial philosophy, with a "living document" approach being a "1" and a "strict constitutionalist" or "originalist" approach being a "10." None applies.
 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
- Do you believe that a judge has the right to display the Ten Commandments in his or her courtroom?
 Yes No Undecided
(see statement below)
for #s 3-8)*
- In *Lawrence v. Texas*, 539 U.S. 558 (2003), the United States Supreme Court recognized a right to homosexual sexual relations under the United States Constitution. Do you agree with the *Lawrence* decision, which recognizes a constitutional right to homosexual sexual relationships?
 Yes No Undecided
*(see statement below)**
- In *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113 (1973), the United States Supreme Court recognized a right to abortion under the United States Constitution. Do you agree with the *Roe* decision, which recognizes a constitutional right to abortion?
 Yes No Undecided
*(see statement below)**

(OVER)

2006 North Carolina Voter Guide

Questionnaire for State Judicial Candidates — Page 2



6. In *Lofton v. Secretary of the Department of Children and Family Services*, 358 F.3d 804 (11th Cir. 2004), the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit upheld the State of Florida's position that individuals who "engage in current, voluntary homosexual activity" do not have the right to adopt children under the United States Constitution. Do you agree with the *Lofton* decision, which recognizes that individuals engaged in current, voluntary homosexual activity do not have a constitutional right to adopt children?

Yes No Undecided

See statement below.*

7. In *Santa Fe Independent School District v. Doe*, 530 U.S. 290 (2000), the United States Supreme Court ruled that a student-initiated, student-led invocation over the loudspeakers before a public high school football game was unconstitutional. Do you agree with the *Santa Fe Independent School District v. Doe* decision, which recognizes that student-initiated, student-led invocations prior to a public high school football game violate the United States Constitution?

Yes No Undecided

See statement below.*

8. In *Kelo v. City of New London*, 126 S. Ct. 2655 (2005), the United States Supreme Court ruled that a governmental entity's use of eminent domain powers to take private property for economic development purposes did not violate the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Do you agree with the *Kelo* decision, which recognizes that governmental entities can constitutionally take private property for economic development purposes?

Yes No Undecided

See statement below.*

Candidate Signature
(required)

Rob E Hudson

Date 3/31/06

* 3-8 Each of these questions asks about a specific legal issue or a particular decision of the United States Supreme Court. As a Judge on the NC Court of Appeals or a Justice on the NC Supreme Court, my job is to decide cases brought under state law and the state Constitution, not under federal law. As such, my personal opinions of the cases in the questions, if I had any, would have little or nothing to do with the seat for which I am running. In doing my job, I have consistently done my very best to decide cases based on the facts and the law in each case, bringing no agenda, only striving to be fair and impartial. I would promise only to continue to do so if elected to the Supreme Court.

Please return questionnaire by fax: (919) 807-0900; or by mail: P.O. Box 20607, Raleigh, NC 27619 with a postmark no later than March 31, 2006.